An Automated Platform for Phytoplankton Ecology and Aquatic Ecosystem Monitoring
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ABSTRACT: High quality monitoring data are vital for tracking and understanding the causes of ecosystem change. We present a potentially powerful approach for phytoplankton and aquatic ecosystem monitoring, based on integration of scanning flow-cytometry for the characterization and counting of algal cells with multiparametric vertical water profiling. This approach affords high-frequency data on phytoplankton abundance, functional traits and diversity, coupled with the characterization of environmental conditions for growth over the vertical structure of a deep water body. Data from a pilot study revealed effects of an environmental disturbance event on the phytoplankton community in Lake Lugano (Switzerland), characterized by a reduction in cytometry-based functional diversity and by a period of cyanobacterial dominance. These changes were missed by traditional limnological methods, employed in parallel to high-frequency monitoring. Modeling of phytoplankton functional diversity revealed the importance of integrated spatiotemporal data, including circadian time-lags and variability over the water column, to understand the drivers of diversity and dynamic processes. The approach described represents progress toward an automated and trait-based analysis of phytoplankton natural communities. Streamlining of high-frequency measurements may represent a resource for understanding, modeling and managing aquatic ecosystems under impact of environmental change, yielding insight into processes governing phytoplankton community resistance and resilience.

INTRODUCTION

Freshwater ecosystems are characterized by high levels of biodiversity, and are among the most threatened ecosystems on earth (Millennium assessment: http://www.maweb.org). Understanding and managing environmental change in aquatic ecosystems is complicated by co-occurring and interacting stressors like climate change, eutrophication, and pollution that, for example, can interact to favor harmful algal blooms. We suffer from a general lack of knowledge on the background rates and direction of change in pristine ecological systems, as well as in stressed ecological communities. These limits can hamper our ability to detect the signature of a range of anthropogenic impacts on ecosystems, or predict patterns of recovery.

Phytoplankton communities are highly diverse and dynamic. They respond rapidly to climate change, eutrophication, and pollution, and play an important role in aquatic ecosystem biogeochemical processes. Phytoplankton density (algal blooms) and community composition (e.g., toxic cyanobacteria) are the prime agents impacting water quality, ecosystem and human health, and have been suggested to be used as such for ecosystem assessment. Monitoring, understanding, and predicting changes in structural (composition, diversity, evenness) and functional (phenotypic characteristics, growth rate, productivity) aspects of phytoplankton communities across space and over time represents however a challenge for aquatic ecology. The capturing of population dynamics, community succession and adaptation to environmental change requires: (1) high-frequency sampling to follow fast plankton fluctuations and potential chaotic dynamics; (2) vertical (depth) distribution of algal taxa and their physio-morphological characteristics (traits); (3) a functional, trait-based assessment of communities and ecosystems based on the characteristics of the organisms' phenotypes...
that directly respond to environmental changes and determine effects on aggregated processes.  

The goal of this article is to present an integrated platform able to (1) provide automated high-frequency measurements of phytoplankton at different lake depths; (2) couple in situ biological monitoring with data about the physical environment; (3) provide a streamline of real-time data for modeling and forecasting phytoplankton dynamics. By integrating a Cytobuoy with an Idronaut vertical profiling system, we addressed the objective of increasing spatiotemporal resolution in field data collection. It has been proposed that scanning flow-cytometry, offered by instruments like the commercially available Cytobuoy, may offer advantages over microscopic methods for cell counting and classification of phytoplankton, including the possibility of automation and high frequency field measurements of phytoplankton physio-morphological characteristics. A novel aspect of our monitoring approach, therefore, lays in the use of cytometry-data for a description of phytoplankton functional diversity and expressed phenotypic traits, which allow tracking phytoplankton responses at the functional group level. Trait-based approaches and functional groups are becoming increasingly important in understanding phytoplankton ecology.

In this study we tested our monitoring platform optimized for deep water bodies, designed to afford comprehensive data to study phytoplankton ecology and to improve water resource management. To support the validity of our approach we report the results from a monitoring campaign (spanning roughly one month in May 2010) during which automated measurements were coupled by fortnightly limnological data (physics, chemistry, and biology).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Automated Monitoring Platform.** Phytoplankton counting, characterization, and classification were performed using a scanning flow cytometer Cytobuoy (Woerden, The Netherlands), designed to analyze the full naturally occurring range from small (e.g., picoplankton) to large (e.g., colonial cyanobacteria) planktonic particles (1–700 μm in diameter and a few mm in length) and relatively large water volumes (http://www.cytobuoy.com) (Supporting Information (SI) Figure S1-e). In our instrument, particles were intercepted by two laser beams (Coherent solid-state Laser, 488 and 635 nm, respectively, 15 mW) at the sideward scatter (SWS) signal (90° nm). The pump was placed downstream from the Si probe (SI Figure S1-e). The pump was placed downstream from the Idronaut Controller Module (SI Figure S1-a, b) (Idronaut, Brugherio, Italy, www.idronaut.it). The O7 probe was equipped with seven sensors: pressure, temperature (°C), conductivity (μS, absolute and at 20 °C), pH, oxygen (mg/L and % saturation), and NO3 (μg/L) (Idronaut). An external TriLux fluorimeter was interfaced with the O7 probe in order to quantify levels of Chl-a, phycocyanin and phycoerythrin (Chelsea Technologies Ltd., Surry, UK). More information on the Idronaut profiling system can be found in the SI.

For automatic depth profiles, we allowed the Cytobuoy to accept an electric signal from the Idronaut Controller Module as a trigger to start the measurement cycle during O7 step-profiles. We ran two independent automatic monitoring programs, one with the Cytobuoy and one only with the O7-multiparameter probe, with separated profile settings and different monitoring frequencies. In this study we scheduled a step profile involving six depths—covering the entire photic zone—with the Cytobuoy (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 m) and a continuous profile with the O7-multiparameter probe from 1 to 20 m to be performed twice a day each, to catch die collision variations in the temperature structure of the water column: the theoretical maximum and minimum daily stratification at 3 p.m. and 3 a.m. (12 h frequency), respectively.

For step-profile phytoplankton measurements, we retrieved water from selected depths using an external pump (capacity 1 L min−1), an antimicrobial silver-nanoparticle coated and shaded flexible polyethylene tubing (Flexelene, Eldon James Corp., Loveland, CO), and a surface plexiglass chamber (250 mL) from which the Cytobuoy subsamples through a needle injector (SI Figure S1-e). The pump was placed downstream from the chamber in order to avoid damaging algal cells or colonies prior to measurements. More information on structural components of the monitoring platform, how we integrated our instruments to achieve depth profiles, and an example of automated operation using the integrated system and maintenance details are reported in the SI.

**Sampling.** The automated monitoring platform was moored in Lake Lugano, at a site protected from strong winds and currents and close to the location of the routine historic lake monitoring program (coordinates 45°57′33.43″N, 8°52′53.49″E) (SI Figure S2). This site is representative for the most eutrophic of the lake’s three distinct basins (SI Figure S2). Data presented in this article refer to the monitoring period from the 28th of April to the 31st of May 2010 (with six depths over the photic zone and a frequency of two profiles per day). Independent limnological data were collected at 300 m distance from the platform with a fortnightly frequency. They included physical characteristics of the whole water column, chemical analyses on algal nutrients and integrated phytoplankton samples (from 0 to 20 m). Additional information on these data can be found in the SI. For comparison between cytometry-based richness and phytoplankton species richness (Table 1, SI Figure S6) we used additional samples from Lake Lugano collected between June and December 2010 and data from a study conducted in Lake Zurich during spring 2009 (SI).
Table 1. Comparison of Selected Properties of Automated Measurements to Classical Phytoplankton Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>feature</th>
<th>classical limnology</th>
<th>automated platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number of samples year(^{-1}) (n)</td>
<td>12–18(^\text{a})</td>
<td>&gt;700(^\text{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lag (Δ)</td>
<td>2 weeks – 1 month</td>
<td>12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fundamental period ((T_\text{F} = Δn))</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&gt;700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency (1/(T_\text{F}))</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nyquist frequency (1/2Δ), highest possible frequency</td>
<td>1–2 months (6–12 cycles year(^{-1}))</td>
<td>24 h (365 cycles year(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution of depth gradient</td>
<td>from 1 integrated to 10 samples over photic zone</td>
<td>from 6 to 12 samples over photic zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phytoplankton density and physio-morphological traits</td>
<td>estimated from ca. 200–500 counts/in</td>
<td>from ca. 30,000 counts/in 100–400 μL volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of descriptors measured per individual</td>
<td>2 (size, volume)</td>
<td>54 (3D descriptors, pigment type, concentration etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>estimation of diversity</td>
<td>taxonomic, functional</td>
<td>Functional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of taxa groups</td>
<td>14 to 61 per sample(^\text{c})</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of functional groups</td>
<td>5 to 20 per sample(^\text{d})</td>
<td>4 to 53 per sample(^\text{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reproducibility/repeatability of data</td>
<td>low(^\text{d})</td>
<td>high(^\text{d})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Considering one sample per month plus an extra fortnightly sample during productive seasons as in refs 14 and 31 (SI). \(^{b}\) The automated system is currently producing data series across seasons. \(^{c}\) Range in number of species and functional groups during intercalibration performed in Lake Zurich and Lake Lugano: Reynolds categories\(^{29}\) were utilized for functional grouping of microscopically identified species, for Cytobuoy-derived functional groups see the Materials and Methods, for a plot of Cytobuoy-derived versus taxonomic diversity see SI Figure S6, \(^{d}\) Quality assessment trials highlighted that phytoplankton microscopic counts can be difficult to reproduce across laboratories since they rely on human subjective assessment, biased by the experience/ability/condition of the operator, and that they suffer from low repeatability (high differences between replicated samples) (http://www.planktonforum.eu)\(^{26,50}\) (SI); \(^{e}\) Five consecutive-replicated sampling cycles were performed in this study at the same depth and data assessed by canonical discriminate function analysis (SI). \(^{f}\) From ref 34.

Data Analysis. Data manipulation, analysis and graphics were performed in the R programming language (www.r-project.org). The Cytobuoy provided 54 descriptors of 3D structure and FL profile for each particle.\(^{25}\) Data sets also included original sampled volume, date, time, and depth at which particles were taken. We visually inspected the distribution of raw data with regards to FL signals and set database-specific threshold levels to divide fluorescent (FL) from non-FL particles. The overall FL and non-FL databases comprised 1 and 5 million particles, respectively.

Cytobuoy particle descriptors were standardized to zero mean and unit variance and, by principal component analysis, reduced to 33 orthogonal vectors covering 99% of total variance in the data (data not shown). Principal components were utilized for grouping particles into functional categories using K-means clustering. We compared several K values and selected the optimal number of K based on the within groups sum of squares.\(^{33}\) Phytoplankton densities were calculated by inferring the number of cells from the number of humps in the SWS signal of each particle to account for colonial species.\(^{20,25}\) O7 sensor data were organized in a separated database. Cyanobacterial-like particles were identified based on FLO and FLR emissions after excitation by the 495 and 635 nm lasers, respectively, after visual inspection. These signals are expected as a response to the presence of the cyanobacterial-specific pigment phycocyanin.\(^{25}\)

We modeled richness of Cytobuoy-derived functional groups of phytoplankton (response variables) in the upper 12 m of the water column based on high frequency environmental data (explanatory variables). Explanatory variables included: water parameters (mean of top 12 m), coefficient of variation (CV = SD/mean) of parameters over water-column and meteorological data at time-lag(0), -lag(1) (≈24 h), and -lag(2) (≈48 h). The response variables showed significant temporal autocorrelation only at time-lag(1) (data not shown). We therefore included for each model the response variable at time-lag(1) as explanatory, in order to account for temporal autocorrelation of data. All variables were scaled in order to standardize effect sizes and let to compete in the same model. The best model was selected based on Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) with a stepwise procedure (alternation of forward selection and backward elimination of variables with p > 0.05).\(^{34}\) The relative importance of drivers was assessed by bootstrapping (999 times) the percentage contribution to the R\(^2\) of the model among the regressors, and extracting the relative 95% confidence intervals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytoplankton Depth Heterogeneity. Our monitoring approach was able to reveal fine changes in the relative depth distribution of phytoplankton functional-group richness, Chl-a concentration and cell density with statistically significant differences between day and night profiles (SI, Figure S3–S4). Similar data have been observed using flow-cytometry in oceanic profiles of phytoplankton communities.\(^{35–37}\) We did not observe a significant difference in the vertical physical structure of the water column between day and night profiles (SI Figure S3–S4), and limited changes between day and night air-temperatures during the study period (data not shown). Our data suggest that depth-specific day-night dynamics in phytoplankton community composition and abundance are driven by biological factors, rather than environmental changes (SI Results and Discussion).

Temporal Phytoplankton Dynamics. The frequency and intensity of phytoplankton blooms are key elements for ecological status definition.\(^{16,17,19}\) Considering that most algal taxa can reach bloom conditions and disappear within a few days (implying a maximum oscillation frequency of 2–3 density peaks per week), a minimum sampling frequency of 4–6 times per week would be needed to follow algal dynamics (Nyquist frequency, Table 1) and quantify their intensity adequately.\(^{29}\)
Our automated monitoring platform was able to perform 2 vertical profiles per day (at a fixed depth the maximum frequency could be of six samples per hr). Figure 1 reports results from daily monitoring samples (time is 3 pm, frequency = 1 day⁻¹) during the study. This frequency was capable of capturing fine fluctuations in FL particle density (phytoplankton) and total Chl-a concentration over the water column (Figure 1A). Our data were comparable to previous work using flow-cytometry in the field in terms of temporal resolution on algal dynamics (ref 27 and literature therein). Measured phytoplankton density was comparable with microscopic counts and correlated well with Chl-a concentration levels (Figure 1A) (R²-adjusted = 0.651, p = 4.324⁻⁰⁸), as also reported elsewhere.²² Our system was able to follow dynamics of non-FL particles (suspended solids, dead cells, heterotrophic bacteria), which did not correlate with algal cell concentrations apart from a short period in the middle of the time-series (days 15–18) (Figure 1A).

Previous work using flow cytometry in phytoplankton aimed at identifying broad functional groups (such as picoeukaryotes, microalga, cyanobacteria, etc.) and some phytoplankton species with clearly distinguished morphology or pigmentation (such as *Pseudonitzschia*, *Cryptomonas*, *Synura*, *Dinobryon*)²⁰,²⁵,²⁷,³⁸ (and literature therein). This type of analysis lacked a proper measure of diversity. We used the Cytobuoy to describe key phytoplankton traits like size, coloniality, pigment type, and content, which we used to create groups of functionally similar individuals.²⁹,³⁰ The possibility of monitoring individually measured phytoplankton physio-morphological descriptors may offer the best prospects in

---

**Figure 1.** Automated measurements of phytoplankton density, diversity and associated changes in environmental heterogeneity. (A) Phytoplankton abundance (from Cytobuoy, solid line) compared to microscopic counts (black square), abundance of non-FL particles (dashed line, scaled to fit graph by dividing values by 250) and Chl-a concentration (from O7-probe, gray line); (B) Richness of Cytobuoy-based functional groups (black line) compared to microscopic species counts (black square), and Pielou’s evenness (Shannon-diversity/Log(species richness)) of groups (gray line) compared to the same index derived by microscopic counts (gray square); (C) CV over the water column in temperature (black line) and conductivity at 20 °C (gray line). The CV can be used as a proxy of environmental (depth) heterogeneity.¹⁴ In (A) and (B), data represent the average of the top 12 m of the water column. The gray vertical line highlights the mixing event.
terms of objectivity, reproducibility, functional properties and prediction of algal assemblages. The number of Cyto buoy-derived functional groups was comparable with the total number of species detected in the photic zone of the water column (Figure 1B, SI Figure S6), as also reported elsewhere. Generally, the number of functional groups in a community is smaller than the number of species, since in current functional classification methods more than one species can be assigned to the same functional category. With our trait-based approach, however, it is also possible that individuals of the same species can be allocated to different functional groups based on their expressed morphology (for example, colonial species can be assigned to two different groups depending on whether they are present as single cells or colonies). The Cyto buoy description of the relative abundance of phytoplankton functional groups deviated from microscopically measured evenness (Figure 1B). This could be caused by superior precision of automated density measurements, and to the fact that the identity (and abundance) of Cyto buoy-derived functional groups does not fully reflect the identity (and abundance) of microscopically defined taxonomic groups as reported above (several species can map into one functional category and individuals of the same species can be assigned to different groups).

We observed a strong decrease in phytoplankton functional richness and evenness in the middle of the time-series (Figure 1B), followed by a short recovery period that led to higher cell density (Figure 1A). These dynamics were completely missed by the fortnightly limnological sampling (Figure 1). Our approach offered the advantage of having automated measurements of environmental conditions for the observed algal dynamics (SI Figure S7). Six days of rainy and stormy weather (SI Table S1) were associated with a period of low phytoplankton diversity and productivity (with high levels of non-FL particles), and a decrease in CV in temperature and conductivity over the first 12 m of the water column. This eventually led to a mixing event on day 19 (Figure 1C, SI Figure S7). The phytoplankton community in the days preceding disturbance (started at day 5) showed a gradual decline, reaching the minimum of evenness and richness just before the mixing event (on days 17 and 18, respectively). The mixing event re-established evenness in the community that fully recovered functional diversity in 6 days (Figure 1B–C). Functional diversity, as opposed to taxonomic diversity, appears to be a better predictor of ecosystem functioning across a range of communities and measures of functional diversity may afford a better description of the functionality of the ecosystem and its resilience to disturbance.12,13,23,24,39

Using Cyto buoy-Derived Phytoplankton Traits. Our approach allows tracking phytoplankton physio-morphological characteristics such as cell size and shape (which influence motility and nutrient uptake through surface/volume ratio), photosynthetic performance (driven by pigment type and concentration), active nutrient uptake and coloniality.22 Cell size and photosynthetic performance are key phytoplankton traits, affecting growth, metabolism, access to resources, susceptibility to grazing, and are extremely plastic responding to the environment and to species interactions.22,23,32 Analysis of dynamics and distributions of these focal phytoplankton traits could improve our forecasting capabilities of community structure and ecosystem functions.12,13,23,39 Pigment profiles can also be used to specifically target certain phytoplankton groups of interest in their spatiotemporal dynamics.20,25

We report temporal changes in mean and variance of phytoplankton size and suspended non-FL particles size (Figure 2A, SI Figure S7). In addition, we tracked the dynamics in abundance of cyanobacteria using Cyto buoy data and phycocyanin/Chl-a concentration ratios obtained with the O7-probe (Figure 2B). Shortly before “disturbance” (days 15–17), a period characterized by low diversity and productivity (Figure 1), the study site was dominated by large cyanobacterial colonies (Figure 2A and B). Mean water column cyanobacterial density obtained by the Cyto buoy was almost identical to microscopic count levels (Figure 2B) and was likely associated with the presence of Planktothrix rubescens filaments (SI Table S2). The mixing event rapidly and dramatically reduced cyanobacterial abundance and the average size of the phytoplankton community (Figure 2).40 Variation in the dimensions of non-FL particles appeared to be very small compared to the dynamics in phytoplankton size (note the y-axis scales in Figure 2A). Compared to conditions before the disturbance, the final days of our time-series were characterized by smaller size phytoplankton cells (Figure 2A), probably eukaryotic nanoplanckton of genera Stephanodiscus and Melosira (SI Table S2), dominating a more productive (Figure 1A) and diverse community (Figure 1B, SI Figure S8).

Our approach introduces the possibility of monitoring a large number of phytoplankton individuals and their traits per population or through the entire community. Individuals and populations should be the basic units of investigation to assess the status of communities and ecosystems, since they respond phenotypically.
Table 2. Multiple Linear Regression Model Describing Phytoplankton Richness (Cytobuoy-Derived Functional Groups) in Terms of Changes in Environmental Conditions over the Period of Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>driver*</th>
<th>coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>percentage of $R^2$</th>
<th>95% confidence*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lower</td>
<td>upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air T-lag(1)</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>0.113 0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond.-lag(1)</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.067 0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond-lag(2)</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.096 0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV-Cond.-lag(1)</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.063 0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH-lag(2)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.058 0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO3</td>
<td>-0.286</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.032 0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV-pH-lag(1)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.042 0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO3-lag(2)</td>
<td>2.246</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.034 0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV-Water</td>
<td>-1.394</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.025 0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-lag(1)</td>
<td>-0.932</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.022 0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-NO3-lag(1)</td>
<td>1.519</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.031 0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV-NO3-lag(1)</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.016 0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-lag(1)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.015 0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Drivers: $T =$ temperature ($^\circ$C); Cond. = conductivity at $20 \, ^\circ$C; CV = coefficient of variation over the sampled depths; Light = maximum irradiance (W/m$^2$); lag(1) and (2) = time-lag 24 and 48 h, respectively. Drivers are ordered based on their relative contribution to the $R^2$ of the model, expressed as percentage of total. *Confidence intervals refer to the bootstrapped relative contribution to the $R^2$ of the model.

Toward an Adaptive, Integrated Approach to Aquatic Ecosystem Monitoring. Monitoring frameworks that evolve along with our improved knowledge of ecosystem processes would strongly benefit ecosystem health assessment and management by allowing to assess the impact of ongoing environmental change, to study recovery processes, and to built more reliable forecasting models.33 Sophisticated monitoring approaches like the one that we have developed can offer the spatiotemporal resolution and flexibility necessary to capture and model natural phytoplankton responses to disturbance or stress, or to test ecological and evolutionary hypotheses including the mechanisms that lead to stable coexistence of species. For example, high-frequency data afford the possibility of studying niche processes and environmental filters on diversity and trait distribution patterns,44,45 while tracking the vertical distribution of functional groups and their abundance allow testing for the importance of dispersal limitation among patches in the assembly of the phytoplankton community.46

Table 1 summarizes some of the properties of our automated data-series compared to traditional monitoring, including diel temporal resolution in phytoplankton community dynamics and water column structure over the photic zone of the lake (Figure 1, 2, S1 Figures S3, S4, S7). We were not able to capture horizontal spatial heterogeneity of phytoplankton and the associated environment. The lack of spatial information across the water surface may be solved by integrating our platform data with remote sensing from satellites or from local devices that use spectral information reflected from the water surface47 (http://www.waterinsight.nl). Depth represents however the most heterogeneous aspect of the phytoplankton spatial environment, and our vertical profiles may be crucial to understand and model the effects of disturbance, spatial heterogeneity and patch dynamics on phytoplankton community structure.48,49 Several phytoplankton groups are in fact capable of vertically migrating in the water column being motile (e.g., dinoflagellates) or able to regulate buoyancy (e.g., cyanobacteria).29 Depth resolution is therefore essential to track algal populations, which can be defined as groups of similar organisms (for example belonging to the same cytometry-derived cluster) that coexist at the same time in the same water layer.

The bottleneck in monitoring natural systems is the development of automated technologies for the identification and counting of organisms.20,27,30,50 Our description of phytoplankton richness obtained by cluster analysis of automated flow-cytometry data appeared to closely match the taxonomic richness derived by microscopic analysis (Table 1, S1 Figure S6). Technical repeatability and across-lab reproducibility currently represent disadvantages of classical microscopic counts. An automated monitoring station like the one that we developed may offer the objectivity and reproducibility of a standardized measuring system that (1) reduces human error; (2) affords a detailed description of individual algal features; (3) provides high data complexity; and (4) increase spatiotemporal resolution compared to man-made monitoring campaigns (Table 1).20,50

The temporal and spatial monitoring scales of our analysis (Table 1) were roughly equivalent since both of them reflected processes operating over day-night cycles across the water column. The benefits of an integrated spatiotemporal approach to monitoring include (i) accounting for spatiotemporal coexistence mechanisms that purely spatial or temporal approaches would miss; (ii) generating new hypotheses and allowing rigorous testing of theoretical models; (iii) improving our descriptive...
power for developing forecasting models; and (iv) optimizing monitoring strategies by choosing appropriate scales for sampling. A fine spatiotemporal resolution with regards to organisms and the environment may represent a critical resource for scientists and stakeholders challenged by understanding, modeling, and managing aquatic ecosystems. The approach presented here can be applied to freshwater and marine ecosystems, and to both natural and engineered environments such as drinking water reservoirs, water-treatment, and aquaculture plants.
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